

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

em

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/939,792	08/28/2001	Akira Fujishima	4468-022	2863	
22429	7590 09/04/2003		•		
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 /310			EXAMINER		
			THOMAS, BRANDI N		
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314	· .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2873		
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u>un</u>			
		Application No.	licant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/939,792	FUJISHIMA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brandi N Thomas	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this con  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n	·				
7/63	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-48</u> is/are withdra						
5)□	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-16 is/are rejected.						
l	Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to.						
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
,	ion Papers	oloolion roquiromoni.					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	Application No				
* \$	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) 🗆 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).			
15) 🗌 /	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •					
Attachmen		_					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTO- Detailed Action .				
U.S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary	Part of	Paper No. 9			

Application/Control Number: 09/939,792 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-16 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 17-48 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected embodiment, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

  Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

## Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Information Disclosure Statement

4. Acknowledgement is made of receipt of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) filed on 12/19/01 and 4/8/02. An initialed copy is attached to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,792

Art Unit: 2873

6. Claims 1-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wariishi et al. (6376765 B1) in view of Itaya et al (5876581).

Regarding claim 1, Wariishi teaches a photoreactive device (figure 1) comprising: a semiconductor (21) having a conduction band with a potential and being capable of producing electrons under the irradiation of light on said semiconductor; and an oxidation\*reduction material having a redox potential being positive compared with said potential of said conduction band, wherein said electrons produced by said semiconductor are supplied into said oxidation\*reduction material converted for storing said electrons in said material (col. 22, lines 39-57) except that it does not show an crystalline structure. Itaya et al. shows that it is known to provide a crystalline structure for linking iron II and iron III of a cyano group. Therefore it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wariishi et al. with the crystalline structure of Itaya et al. for the purpose of linking iron II and iron III of a cyano group (col. 16, lines 49-59).

Regarding claim 2 and 8, Wariishi et al. teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show an the oxidation\*reduction material reduced in the presence of a cation. Itaya et al. shows that it is known to provide the presence of a cation for providing an electrolytic process for the synthesis of iron hexacyanoferrate (II). Therefore it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wariishi et al. with the cation of Itaya et al. for the purpose of providing an electrolytic process for the synthesis of iron hexacyanoferrate (II) (col. 2, lines 29-35).

Regarding claim 3, Wariishi et al. teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show the crystalline structure oxidation\*reduction material is an electrochromic material. Itaya

Application/Control Number: 09/939,792

Art Unit: 2873

et al. shows that it is known to provide oxidation\*reduction material is an electrochromic material for reversibly changing the mixed valence between trivalence and divalence. Therefore it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wariishi et al. with the electrochromic material of Itaya et al. for the purpose of reversibly changing the mixed valence between trivalence and divalence (col. 16, lines 66-67 and col. 17, lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 4, Wariishi et al. teaches oxidation\*reduction material is an oxide semiconductor of tungsten bronze (col. 24, lines 10-26) except that it does not show crystalline structure. Itaya et al. shows that it is known to provide a crystalline structure for linking iron II and iron III of a cyano group. Therefore it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wariishi et al. with the crystalline structure of Itaya et al. for the purpose of linking iron II and iron III of a cyano group (col. 16, lines 49-59).

Regarding claim 5, Wariishi discloses, as in figure 1, a substrate (50, 50a), a layer for storing electrons made of said oxidation \*reduction material on said substrate (20), and a semiconductor layer made of said semiconductor on said substrate (10, 10a) (col. 22, lines 39-45). Regarding claim 6, Wariishi et al. further discloses a porous semiconductor layer (21).

Regarding claims, 7, 15, and 16, Wariishi et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the oxidation\*reduction material and the semiconductor made from powder, the translucent member is a window, and an ornament. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the oxidation\*reduction material and the semiconductor of powder, the translucent member to be a window, and an ornament

Art Unit: 2873

comprising the device, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claim 9, Wariishi et al. teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show a conductor that is insoluble in water. Itaya et al. shows that it is known to provide a conductor is substantially insoluble in water because of its zeolitic nature (col. 4, lines 3-15). Therefore it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wariishi et al. with the conductor of Itaya et al. for the purpose of providing a zeolitic nature (col. 3, lines 4-15).

Regarding claim 10, Wariishi further discloses for use in a gaseous phase (col. 5, lines 44-57).

Regarding claim 11, Wariishi further discloses wherein the reflectance of visible light of said oxidation\*reduction material may be changed when light is irradiated on said device (col. 23, lines 15-20).

Regarding claim 12, Wariishi further discloses detecting the light intensity of light irradiated on said device based on the change of a property of said oxidation\*reduction material (col. 54, lines 47-54).

Regarding claim 14, Wariishi et al. further discloses a translucent member (50, 50a) comprising a main body of a translucent material (col. 22, line 37).

Application/Control Number: 09/939,792 Page 6

Art Unit: 2873

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claim(s), in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim(s) 13, wherein the claimed invention comprises a device for detecting humidity based of change of a property of said oxidation\*reduction material depending on said humidity, as claimed.

### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sakurai et al. (6310282) discloses a photovoltaic conversion element, which makes it possible to install it in the interior of a display element.

Watanabe et al. (5874701) discloses a photocatalytically treatment process, which does not necessitate the use of a special light source for excitation of a photocatalyst.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandi N Thomas whose telephone number is 703-308-3095. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,792

Art Unit: 2873

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703-308-4883. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-4883.

BNT

RICKY MACK PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7